Case 2:13-cv-01359-JS Document 1 Filed 03/14/13 Page 1 of 12

SJS 44 (Rev. 12/07, NJ 5/08)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

the civil docket sheet. (SEE in	NOTICE TIES ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORME,						
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS		DEFENDANTS					
DONALD LECASTRE			NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC.				
DONALD LEGACINE			THOS I WINTER STOTEME, INC.				
(L) (C) (C) (C)	CE: - 1 : - 1 D1 : - 100						
(b) County of Residence	e of First Listed Plaintiff		County of Residence o	of First Listed Defendant			
(c) Attorney's (Firm Na	nme, Address, Telephone Number and Email Add	dress)	110mm D1111N	CONTRACTOR OF CEC. I	TE THE LOCATION OF THE		
0 : TI 10: 1 F	M. Millaudini . M. Jennii		NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED.				
Craig Thor Kimmel, E			LANDI	INVOLVED.			
Kimmel & Silverman,	P.C.		Attorneys (If Known)				
30 E. Butler Pike			320 10 10				
Ambler, PA 19002		878					
(215) 540-8888	NCTION OF STATE OF STATE	III CI	TIZENCHID OF D	DINCIDAL DADTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff		
II. BASIS OF JURISI	OICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)		(For Diversity Cases Only)	KINCIFAL FARTIES	and One Box for Defendant)		
☐ 1 U.S. Government	■ 3 Federal Question	8	PI		PTF DEF		
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government Not a Party)	Citize	en of This State	 Incorporated or Prior of Business In This 			
				of Business in This	s State		
2 U.S. Government	☐ 4 Diversity	Citize	en of Another State				
Defendant	(Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)			of Business In A	Another State		
		Citize	en or Subject of a	3 🗖 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6		
			reign Country				
IV. NATURE OF SUI							
CONTRACT	TORTS		ORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES		
☐ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJUR		0 Agriculture	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	☐ 400 State Reapportionment		
☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act	□ 310 Airplane □ 362 Personal Injury · Med. Malpractic		0 Other Food & Drug 5 Drug Related Seizure	423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157	☐ 410 Antitrust ☐ 430 Banks and Banking		
☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	Liability		of Property 21 USC 881	20 000 101	☐ 450 Commerce		
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment			0 Liquor Laws	PROPERTY RIGHTS	☐ 460 Deportation		
& Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act	Slander 368 Asbestos Persona 330 Federal Employers' Injury Product		0 R.R. & Truck 0 Airline Regs.	820 Copyrights 830 Patent	 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 		
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability Liability	□ 66	0 Occupational	☐ 840 Trademark	▼ 480 Consumer Credit		
Student Loans	☐ 340 Marine PERSONAL PROPER		Safety/Health		☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV ☐ 810 Selective Service		
(Excl. Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	☐ 345 Marine Product ☐ 370 Other Fraud ☐ 371 Truth in Lending		0 Other LABOR	SOCIAL SECURITY	☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/		
of Veteran's Benefits	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle ☐ 380 Other Personal	O 71	0 Fair Labor Standards	☐ 861 HIA (1395ff)	Exchange		
160 Stockholders' Suits	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Property Damage Product Liability ☐ 385 Property Damage		Act 0 Labor/Mgmt. Relations	☐ 862 Black Lung (923) ☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	□ 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410		
☐ 190 Other Contract☐ 195 Contract Product Liability			0 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting	☐ 864 SSID Title XVI	☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions		
☐ 196 Franchise	Injury	1000 8000	& Disclosure Act	□ 865 RSI (405(g))	☐ 891 Agricultural Acts		
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS PRISONER PETITION 441 Voting 510 Motions to Vacat		0 Railway Labor Act 0 Other Labor Litigation	FEDERAL TAX SUITS 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	□ 892 Economic Stabilization Act □ 893 Environmental Matters		
☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure	☐ 442 Employment Sentence		1 Empl. Ret. Inc.	or Defendant)	☐ 894 Energy Allocation Act		
	☐ 443 Housing/ Habeas Corpus:		Security Act	☐ 871 IRS—Third Party	☐ 895 Freedom of Information		
240 Torts to Land	Accommodations 530 General 535 Death Penalty		IMMICDATION	26 USC 7609	Act 900Appeal of Fee Determination		
245 Tort Product Liability290 All Other Real Property	☐ 444 Welfare ☐ 535 Death Penalty ☐ 540 Mandamus & Ot.	ther	2 Naturalization Application		Under Equal Access		
	Employment	□ 46	3 Habeas Corpus -		to Justice		
	446 Amer. w/Disabilities - 555 Prison Condition	COLUMN TO THE PARTY OF THE PART	Alien Detainee		☐ 950 Constitutionality of		
	Other 440 Other Civil Rights	40.	5 Other Immigration Actions		State Statutes		
					=		
V. ORIGIN (Place	an "X" in One Box Only)				Appeal to District		
□ 1 Original □ 2 R	emoved from 3 Remanded from		Stated of 5 another	ferred from Grant 6 Multidistr			
Proceeding St	tate Court Appellate Court		(specif	fv)	Judgment		
	Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you a	are filing (Do not cite jurisdictiona	al statutes unless diversity):			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI	ON						
vii chest of heri	Brief description of cause: Fair Debt Collection Practices A	ct					
VII DEOLIECTED IN			EMAND \$	CHECK VES only	if demanded in complaint:		
VII. REQUESTED IN	UNDER F.R.C.P. 23	ENIAND 5					
VIII. RELATED CASE(S) (See instructions):							
(See instructions): JUDGE DOCKET NUMBER							
Explanation:	•		/				
Explanation.		1					
		//					
DATE	SIGNATURE O	OF ATTOR	RNEY OF RECORD				
	7	11/					
03/14/2013			1				

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

DONALD LECASTRE		:	CIVIL ACTION	
v. NCO FINANCIAL SYS		: : :	NO.	
plaintiff shall complete a filing the complaint and side of this form.) In the designation, that defendant plaintiff and all other particular that defendant believes the	a Case Management serve a copy on all dethe event that a defent shall, with its first ties, a Case Managen he case should be ass	Track Description of the contract of the contr	lay Reduction Plan of this court, courtsignation Form in all civil cases at the (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the est not agree with the plaintiff regardince, submit to the clerk of court and serve Designation Form specifying the track to	time of reverse ng said e on the
			NAGEMENT TRACKS:	
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cas	ses brought under 28	U.S.C. §	2241 through § 2255.	()
(b) Social Security – Cas and Human Services			sion of the Secretary of Health ity Benefits.	()
(c) Arbitration – Cases r	equired to be design	ated for ar	bitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.	(X)
(d) Asbestos – Cases inv exposure to asbestos	-	rsonal inju	rry or property damage from	()
commonly referred to	o as complex and tha	t need spe	acks (a) through (d) that are cial or intense management by ed explanation of special	()
(f) Standard Managemen	nt – Cases that do no	t fall into	any one of the other tracks.	()
03/14/2013 Date	Craig Thor Kin Attorney-at-lav		Plaintiff, Donald Lecastre Attorney for	
215-540-8888 Folombono	877-788-2864 EAV Number		kimmel@creditlaw.com	

Case 2:13-cv-01359-JS Document 1 Filed 03/14/13 Page 3 of 12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar.

assignment to appropriate entendant			
Address of Plaintiff: 307 Wawayanda Ave., Middletown,	NY 10940		
Address of Defendant: 507 Prudential Road, Horsham, I	PA 19044		
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction:			
(Use Reverse Side For	Additional Space)		
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation	and any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock?		
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a))) Yes□ No⊠		
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	Yes□ No. Ž		
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:	103-		
Case Number: Judge	Date Terminated:		
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:			
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one y	ear previously terminated action in this court?		
1. Is this case related to properly included in an earlier numbered suit pending of within one y	Yes□ No⊠		
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior			
action in this court?			
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier	Yes□ No⊠		
terminated action in this court?	Yes No.		
terminated action in and court.	100- 110-		
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil righ	ts case filed by the same individual?		
	Yes□ NoЩ		
CIVIL: (Place ✓ in one category only)			
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:		
1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	1. □ Insurance Contract and Other Contracts		
2. □ FELA	2. □ Airplane Personal Injury		
3. Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. □ Assault, Defamation		
4. Antitrust	4. Marine Personal Injury		
5. Patent	5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury		
6. Labor-Management Relations	6. Dother Personal Injury (Please specify)		
7. □ Civil Rights	7. Products Liability		
8. Habeas Corpus	8. Products Liability — Asbestos		
9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases	9. □ All other Diversity Cases		
10. □ Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)		
11. X All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify) 15 U.S.C. § 1692			
ARBITRATION CERT	TIFICATION		
I, Craig Thor Kimmel , counsel of record do hereby certi			
I, Craig Thor Kimmel , counsel of record do hereby certi			
\$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;	,		
□ Relief other than monetary damages is sought.			
DATE: 02/14/2012	57100		
DATE: 03/14/2013	Attorney I.D.#		
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if the	•		
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or	within one year previously terminated action in this court		
except as noted above.	one jour provident of minutes action in this court		
/ // //	F7100		
DATE: 03/14/2013	57100		
Attorney-at-Law	Attorney I.D.#		

CIV. 609 (5/2012)

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

1

2	EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA				
3					
4	DONALD LECASTRE,)				
5) Plaintiff)				
6)				
7	v.) Case No.:				
8	NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC., COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR				
9) JURY TRIAL Defendant)				
10	(Unlawful Debt Collection Practices)				
11	COMPLAINT				
12	<u>COMPLAINT</u>				
13	DONALD LECASTRE ("Plaintiff"), by and through his attorneys				
14	KIMMEL & SILVERMAN, P.C., alleges the following against NCO FINANCIA				
15	SYSTEMS, INC. ("Defendant"):				
16					
17					
18	INTRODUCTION				
19	1. Plaintiff's Complaint is based on the Fair Debt Collection Practices				
20	Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. ("FDCPA"), which prohibits debt collectors from				
21					
22	engaging in abusive, deceptive, and unfair practices.				
23					
24					
25					

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. Jurisdiction of this court arises pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d), which states that such actions may be brought and heard before "any appropriate United States district court without regard to the amount in controversy," and 28 U.S.C. § 1331 grants this court original jurisdiction of all civil actions arising under the laws of the United States.
- 3. Defendant conducts business and has its principal office in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, and therefore, personal jurisdiction is established.
 - 4. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1).

PARTIES

- 5. Plaintiff is a natural person residing in Middletown, New York 10940.
- 6. Plaintiff is a "consumer" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(3).
- 7. In the alternative, Plaintiff is a person granted a cause of action under the FDCPA. See 15 U.S.C. §1692k(a), and Wenrich v. Cole, 2000 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 18687 (E.D. Pa. Dec. 22, 2000).
 - 8. Defendant is a national debt collection company with its corporate

headquarters located at 507 Prudential Road in Horsham, Pennsylvania, 19044.

- 9. Defendant is a "debt collector" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6), and repeatedly contacted Plaintiff in an attempt to collect a consumer debt of another person.
- 10. Defendant acted through its agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives, and insurers.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 10. At all relevant times, Defendant was contacting Plaintiff in an attempt to collect an alleged consumer debt.
- 11. The alleged debt, a TD Bank, N.A. personal banking account overdraft fee, arose out of transactions, which were primarily for personal, family, or household purposes.
- 12. By way of background, on or about May 9, 2009, Plaintiff filed a Chapter 7 Voluntary Bankruptcy Petition in the Southern District of New York, Action No. 09-36203-CGM. Plaintiff was represented by counsel.
- 13. On the schedule of creditors holding unsecured, non-priority claims, Plaintiff listed TD Bank, N.A., identifying account no. xxxxxx0427 and describing the claim as "overdraft fees." See Exhibit A, Schedule of Creditors.

- 14. Subsequent to filing the bankruptcy petition, Plaintiff closed his account with TD Bank, N.A.
- 15. Thereafter, on August 11, 2009, Plaintiff was granted a Discharge.

 See Exhibit B, Order of Discharge.
- 16. More than three (3) years after having been granted a discharge, beginning in January 2013, Defendant continuously and repeatedly contacted Plaintiff on his home telephone seeking to collect a debt allegedly owed to TD Bank, N.A. on account number xxxxx0427 for an overdraft fee. See Exhibit C, January 15, 2013, collection letter from Defendant.
- 17. Plaintiff disputes owing any debt to TD Bank, N.A., as any debt he owed was discharged in bankruptcy in August 2009.
- 18. Since having the debt discharged in Bankruptcy, there have been no other overdraft fees or debts to T.D. Bank, N.A., as he no longer banks there.
- 19. On at least one occasion, Plaintiff spoke with Defendant's collectors and disputed owing the debt, explaining that it had been discharged in bankruptcy. Its collectors however, falsely claimed that the overdraft fees comprising he debt were incurred in 2011, which could not have been an accurate representation.
- 20. Plaintiff advised that he disputed the debt because it had been discharged in bankruptcy and because he never banked with TD Bank, N.A. after that charge was incurred.

- 21. Upon information and belief, Defendant did not investigate the information provided by Plaintiff, nor update its records to ensure he was no longer being called.
- 22. By misrepresenting the character and status of the debt, Defendant engaged in deceptive and misleading behavior.
- 23. Defendant had no lawful entitlement to seek payment of a debt discharged in bankruptcy and by doing so anyway, it could only have intended to deceive, annoy, abuse and harass a consumer into paying.

DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

COUNT I

- 24. Defendant's conduct, as detailed in the preceding paragraphs, violated 15 U.S.C. §§1692c(a)(1) and 1692c(a)(2).
 - a. A debt collector violates §1692c(a)(1) of the FDCPA by communicating with a consumer at an unusual time or place or a time or place known or which should be known to be inconvenient, and shall assume that the convenient time for communicating with a consumer is after 8:00 a.m. and before 9:00 p.m.
 - b. A debt collector violates §1692c(a)(2) of the FDCPA by communicating with a consumer if the debt collector knows the

consumer is represented by an attorney with respect to such debt.

c. Here, Defendant violated §1692c(a)(1) and 1692c(a)(2) of the FDCPA by communicating with Plaintiff at his home and continuing to contact him after it knew he was represented by an attorney.

COUNT II

- 25. Defendant's conduct, as detailed in the preceding paragraphs, violated 15 U.S.C. §§1692d and 1692d(5).
 - a. Section 1692d of the FDCPA prohibits debt collectors from engaging in any conduct the natural consequences of which is to harass, oppress or abuse any person in connection with the collection of a debt.
 - b. Section 1692d(5) of the FDCPA prohibits debt collectors from causing a telephone to ring or engaging any person in telephone conversation repeatedly or continuously with the intent to annoy, abuse, or harass any person at the called number.
 - c. Defendant violated §§1692d and 1692d(5) of the FDCPA when it called Plaintiff with the intent to annoy, abuse and harass Plaintiff, as it was calling him about a debt that he did not owe a debt.

COUNT III

- 26. Defendant's conduct, as detailed in the preceding paragraphs, violated 15 U.S.C. §§1692e, 1692e(2)(A), 1692e(4), 1692e(5), 1692e(7), and 1692e(8).
 - a. Section 1692e of the FDCPA prohibits debt collectors from using any false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of any debt.
 - b. Section 1692e(2)(A) of the FDCPA prohibits debt collectors from making false representations about the character, amount, or legal status of any debt.
 - c. Here, Defendant violated §§1692e and 1692e(2)(A) of the FDCPA by attempting to collect a debt that had been discharged in bankruptcy and falsely representing the character, amount and/or status of a debt, as Plaintiff did not owe a debt.

COUNT IV

- 27. Defendant's conduct, detailed in the preceding paragraphs, violated 15 U.S.C. §§1692f and 1692f(1).
 - a. Section 1692f of the FDCPA prohibits debt collectors from using unfair or unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect any debt.
 - b. A debt collector violates §1692f(1) of the FDCPA by collecting an

amount (including any interest, fee, charge, or expense incidental to the principal obligation) unless such amount is expressly authorized by the agreement creating the debt or permitted by law.

c. Here, Defendant violated §§1692f and 1692f(1) of the FDCPA by attempting to collect a debt that had been discharged in bankruptcy and by collecting an amount that Plaintiff did not owe.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, DONALD LECASTRE, respectfully prays for a judgment as follows:

- a. All actual damages suffered pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692k(a)(1);
- b. Statutory damages of \$1,000.00 for the violation of the FDCPA pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692k(a)(2)(A);
- c. All reasonable attorneys' fees, witness fees, court costs and other litigation costs incurred by Plaintiff pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1693k(a)(3); and
- d. Any other relief deemed appropriate by this Honorable Court.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiff, DONALD LECASTRE, demands a

jury trial in this case.

DATED: 03 14 13

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

KIMMEL & SILVERMAN, P.C.

By: _

CRAIG THOR KIMMEL PA Attorney Id. No. 57100 30 E. Butler Pike Ambler, PA 19002

Phone: (215) 540-8888 ext. 116

Fax: (877) 788-2864

Email: kimmel@creditlaw.com